1) <u>Complement Ther Med.</u> 2005 Jun;13(2):79-86.

# Outcome and costs of homoeopathic and conventional treatment strategies: a comparative cohort study in patients with chronic disorders.

Witt C<sup>1</sup>, Keil T, Selim D, Roll S, Vance W, Wegscheider K, Willich SN.

Institute for Social Medicine, Epidemiology and Health Economics, Charité University Medical Center, 10098 Berlin, Germany. claudia.witt@charite.de

### Abstract

### **OBJECTIVES:**

To evaluate the effectiveness of homoeopathy versus conventional treatment in routine care.

# DESIGN:

Comparative cohort study.

# SETTING:

Patients with selected chronic diagnoses were enrolled in medical practice.

# **INTERVENTIONS:**

Conventional treatment or homeopathy.

# **OUTCOME MEASURES:**

Severity of symptoms assessed by patients and physicians (visual rating scale, 0-10) at baseline, 6 and 12 months and costs.

### **RESULTS:**

The analyses of 493 patients (315 adults, 178 children) indicated greater improvement in patients' assessments after homoeopathic versus conventional treatment (adults: homeopathy from 5.7 to 3.2; conventional, 5.9-4.4; p=0.002; children from 5.1 to 2.6 and from 4.5 to 3.2). Physician assessments were also more favourable for children who had received homoeopathic treatment (4.6-2.0 and 3.9-2.7; p<0.001). Overall costs showed no significant differences between both treatment groups (adults, 2155 versus 2013, p=0.856; children, 1471 versus 786, p=0.137).

### **CONCLUSION:**

Patients seeking homoeopathic treatment had a better outcome overall compared with patients on conventional treatment, whereas total costs in both groups were similar.